



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,326	09/26/2003	Yong Cheol Park	0465-1030P	5080
2252	7590	05/07/2009		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747			BIBBINS, LATANYA	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
05/07/2009	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/670,326	Applicant(s) PARK ET AL.
	Examiner LaTanya Bibbins	Art Unit 2627

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LaTanya Bibbins. (3) Seth Kim.

(2) Wayne Young. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: independent claims 1, 17, 32, 42 and 43.

Identification of prior art discussed: Park (US PGPub Number 2001/0009537), Jitsma et al. (US Patent Number 6,606,285) and Joo (US Patent Number 6,249,884).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed amendments submitted 3/10/2009 and presented arguments regarding the novelty of the invention and how the claims overcome the cited references. Examiner agreed to reconsider the claims in view of Applicants amendments and arguments and also to revisit the references of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/LaTanya Bibbins/
Examiner, Art Unit 2627

/Wayne Young/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627